## 388 |

## Forested area, released item #2 (CMA161Q02)

Forested Area Question 2 / 4 How to Use the Spreadsheet	The spreadshee total land area in years 2005, 2010	t below show each of the 0, and 2015.	FORE: the amou 15 countrie	STED AREA nt of foreste s in this da	a ed area as ta set. Data	a percentag are shown	je of the for the
Peter to "Forested Area" on the right. Use the spreadsheet to	Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F	Column
help you answer the question below. Click on a choice to answer the question.	Country	2005	2010	2015	0 <b>X</b>	-0 X	-0 ¥
	Algeria	2005	0.91	2015	0.17	0.01	
Consider the two time periods: 2005 to 2010 and 2010 to 2015.	Armenia	11 77	11 74	11 77	-0.03	0.03	
	Colombia	54.26	52.85	52.73	-1.41	-0.12	
	Germany	32.66	32.73	32.76	0.07	0.03	
Which one of the following statements correctly describes the mean change in the percentage of forested area for both time periods?	Greece	29.11	30.28	31.45	1.17	1.17	
	India	22.77	23.47	23.77	0.70	0.30	
	Kazakhstan	1.24	1.23	1.23	-0.01	0.00	
	Lebanon	13.34	13.38	13.42	0.04	0.04	
The mean change was negative for both time periods.	Panama	64.33	63.21	62.11	-1.12	-1.10	
<ul> <li>The mean change was the same for both time periods.</li> <li>The mean change was positive for one time period, and negative for the other time period.</li> </ul>	Peru	59.01	58.45	57.79	-0.56	-0.66	
	Portugal	36.52	35.89	35.25	-0.63	-0.64	
	Senegal	45.05	44.01	42.97	-1.04	-1.04	
	South Korea	64.42	64.08	63.69	-0.34	-0.39	
	Thailand	31.51	31.81	32.1	0.30	0.29	
	United States	33.26	33.7	33.85	0.44	0.15	
		33.33	33.18	33.05	-0.15	-0.13	
	Calculate		Subtract	, 	Column C		Run
	Calculate Column D • Mean	Column D	33.18 Subtract	33.05	Column C	-0.13	Run ear All

In the second item in this unit, students are told to consider the data in terms of two time periods, 2005 to 2010 and 2010 to 2015, and then asked to identify the statement that correctly describes the mean change in the percentage of forested area for each time period.

One possible solution method is to have the spreadsheet compute the mean of Columns B, C, and D and just notice that it decreased from 2005 to 2010 (from 33.33 down to 33.18) and that it also decreased from 2010 to 2015 (from 33.18 down to 33.05). Since the mean change decreased in each time period, the correct answer is that "The mean change was negative for both time periods."

Students may also choose to perform a sequence of operations, such as:

- "Column C subtract Column B" (the results of that operation are shown in Column E), which represents the change in the percentage of forested area for the time period 2005 to 2010.
- "Column D subtract Column C" (the results of that operation are shown in Column F), which represents the change in the percentage of forested area for the time period 2010 to 2015.
- Compute the mean of Columns E and F.

This is a difficult item that scaled at Level 5 on the proficiency scale. Students again have to devise a strategy for using the spreadsheet but this time there is more flexibility in how the spreadsheet can be used before having to interpret the results. Possibly contributing to the difficulty of this item is having to correctly interpret "change" in the context of the problem, when the results can be either positive or negative depending on what operations the student performs, and the order in which they perform them.

Unit Name – Item #	Forested Area – CMA161Q02	
Content Area	Uncertainty and data	
Process	Interpret/Evaluate	
Context	Societal	
Item Format	Simple Multiple Choice - Computer Scored	
Answer	The mean change was negative for both time periods.	
Proficiency Level	5	